

Serial No. 10/025,571
Amdt. dated November 23, 2004
Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2004

Docket No. P-0298

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-18 and 20-25 are pending in the present application. Claim 19 has been canceled and claims 1, 5, 8, 10, 12, 16 and 20-23 have been amended by the present Amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1-7, 10-15, 19 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Jeong; and claims 8, 9, 16-18 and 21-25 were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter. In light of this indication, claims 21-23 have been rewritten in independent form and claim 20 has been amended to depend on claim 21, rather than on canceled claim 19.

Claims 1-7, 10-15, 19 and 20 stand reject under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Jeong. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Amended independent claim 1 is directed to a linear compensation system including a linear compensation unit configured to vary a step size of an adaptive equalizer according to whether a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for an output signal of a power amplifier (PA) satisfies a prescribed standard, and output a selected linear compensation coefficient to the modulator. Further, the linear compensation unit computes error values by determining

differences between output values of the adaptive equalizer and a reference signal, computes an average error value of the computed error values, and variably varies the step size of the adaptive equalizer until a current error value is less than a predetermined value of a previous error value. Independent claim 10 includes similar features in a varying scope.

In a non limiting example, Figure 3 illustrates the linear compensation unit computing error values by determining differences between output values of the adaptive equalizer 24-1 (see Figure 2) and a reference signal, computing an average error value of the computed error values, and variably varying the step size of the adaptive equalizer until a current error value is less than a predetermined value of a previous error value (see steps S12-S16). These features are also discussed in the specification in paragraphs [44] and [45] of the specification. As noted in paragraph [46], steps S11 through S16 in Figure 3 are repeated until the current average error value is less then the predetermined value of the previously computed error value. Then, the automatic alarm signal generator 23 fixes a correspondent average error value as a step size of the adaptive equalizer. Thus, in the present invention, the error value is reduced by varying the step size. Therefore, the conversion speed of the adaptive filter is increased compared to a fixed constant step size.

This differs from the disclosed background art in which the step size is a constant fixed step size (see paragraph [13] and [16], for example). Further, Jeong does not teach or

Serial No. 10/025,571
Amdt. dated November 23, 2004
Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2004

Docket No. P-0298

suggest variably varying the step size of the adaptive equalizer until a current error value is less than a predetermined value of a previous error value. Rather, Jeong merely teaches comparing a reference signal and a distortion signal to produce LUT coefficient (see column 6, lines 27-40, for example).

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted independent claims 1 and 10 and each of the claims depending therefrom are also allowable.

Further, the specification has been amended to correct a minor informality. No new matter has been added.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, David A. Bilodeau, at the telephone number listed below.

Serial No. 10/025,571
Amdt. dated November 23, 2004
Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2004

Docket No. P-0298

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Daniel Y.J. Kim
Registration No. 36,186
David A. Bilodeau
Registration No. 42,325

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
(703) 766-3701 DYK/DAB:cre:lew
Date: November 23, 2004

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610